

Cardinal Principle of Homoeopathy and Quackery

Mr Sanjay Kumar Singh, Final DHMS Student, MH Medical College & Hospital
77 & 160 B.B. Ganguly Street Calcutta - 12

Now-a-days, Homoeopathy stands in a position which is very enthusiastic for us because its popularity is remarkable and patients are more interested on this subject. Today, huge number of people is trying to understand its utility and to comprehend its principles, mode of action along with its miraculous effect on the human body. So a tendency among them has developed to retire under the shelter of homoeopathy after they had gone long through old and injurious method of treatment and had acquired of better experience of damaging and calumniating effect of these modification even being hopeless to get prompt relief.

In the present time people are more curious to know the na-

tures, causes, depth of existances and type of the diseases. They are more capable to understand the Homoeopathic theory, definition of disease, cure and health etc. They can understand the process of action of the Homoeopathic medication. Thus, it is not an exaggeration to say that in the present circumstance Homoeopath has acquired much supremacy and installation.

Now, it is clear that Homoeopathy has established itself very powerfully in the medical field, but when we cast our sight upon the present Homoeopathic method of treatment, principle way of thinking, mode of case taking and prescriptions Homoeopathic Physicians in the present time, we

become surrounded by innumerable question marks whether, is the Homoeopathy that was invented and established by a lot of labor, devotion, patience, bravery and venture of our master Hahnemann and followed by Dr. Kent and others in their time

Now, I am compelled to think about that circumstance which struck the mind of Master Hahnemann and consequently that spiritual mode of treatment was discovered. During the early period it's founder could stand powerfully against the storm blown on him when he had alone and had the only mission to save the human being from the mischievous effect of faulty mode of therapy and ultimately he succeeded and his

loneliness was gone away, and one by one he was surrounded by an uncountable mob of followers.

According to Hahnemannian theory of Homoeopathy, there are seven fundamental principles when are placed under different aphorisms of the "ORGANON OF MEDICINE". These are mentioned very carefully and explained in easily comprehensible manner. These are LAW OF SIMILIA, LAW OF SIMPLEX, LAW OF MINIMUM DOCTRINE OF DRUG PROVING, THEORY OF CHRONIC DISEASES, THEORY OF VITAL FORCE, DOCTRINE OF DRUG DYNAMISATION.

I think, further explanation is not necessary here, because it can be easily acquired from "Organon of Medicine". Now it is clear that these fundamental principles make the railroad for the Homoeopathic treatment, by which we can active the desired goal to cure a patient which must be rapid, gentle and permanent restoration of health. In order to get this ideal of cure one must accept the cardinal principles in their real meaning, and guide-lines given by Hahnemann in "GEETA" of Homoeopathy the ORGANON OF MEDICINE.

Unfortunately, it is true, now-a-days in Homoeopathic practice these cardinal principles are avoided by large number of practitioners who have intentioned only to get money at any rate. This

establishes only QUACKERY not Homoeopathy, because a Homoeopath is a physician who must be fortified by the cardinal principles and philosophy. Which never can be compared with other mode of therapy, due to it's total different soul and notions basically natures load.

Just a little time ago I got a chance to go through an article "Antibiotic in Homoeopathy" in "Quinquina" (Q.H.Q. Summer 1996) and made the conclusion that writer unfortunately could not separate himself from the huge mass of UNHOMOEOPATHS OR ANTI-HOMOEOPATHS. Supporting myself I am trying to give some examples of misleading and objectionable thoughts quoted from that article. There were a lot of waste things but it is hard to quote all of them here.

QUOTATION NO - 1

"We have many antibiotics in Homoeopathy" (from Q.H.Q. SUMMER 1996, Page - 27)

Here writer should make me understand about

1. How does a Homoeopathic medicine out in living body ?
2. Does Homoeopathic medicine act against any pathogenic agent ? If yes, then how does it take place ? and what is the role of spiritual autocratic, dynamic, and instinctive vital force during the action of the Homoeopathic medicine.

Here it should never be neglected that Homoeopathy treats the patient, neither it treat the disease nor it acts against any pathogenic organism. A Homoeopathic medicine strengthens the whole constitution of the patient, not only to the particular affected part of the body. So, it is quite clear that there is no any antibiotic in the Homoeopathy.

QUOTATION NO - 2

"PHYTOLUCCA DECANDRA" is used as antibacterial, antiviral and anti inflammatory for a great many complaint It is a great herb for blood and lymphatic purification etc. (Q.H.Q Summer 1996 Page-30).

QUOTATION NO - 3

"HYDRASTIS CANNADENSIS" is used in all inflammations and is valuable in all stomach disorders..... (Page 30 - Q.H.Q. Summer 1996).

Here it should also be cleared by the writer :-

(1) Whether patent medicines for particular diseases are permissible in Homoeopathy ? If, yes, then what is the meaning and need of "Totality of Symptoms" mental and physical generals and most characteristic symptoms ? When we shall walk on the path to precise looking only Malaria, Typhoid or spleenomegaly or enlargement of liver then what is the use of very

much exhausting and time taking but 'proper' "case-taking" of the patient, which was advocated by master Hahnemann, Dr. Kent and others.

(2) If we homoeopaths, are going to prescribe mother tinctures of herbs on the basis particular disease only, then which type of medicinal action we required? It is question to writers that what is the difference between Homoeopathy and other mode of treatment? and besides these what to do about "Principle of Drug dynamisation"

QUOTATION NO - 4

"Allium sativa is a great germicide and fever remedy.....
... (Q.H.Q. Summer 1996, Page-30).

QUOTATION NO-5

"Zinziber" is a great anti-inflammatory, anti-parasitic, anti-microbial, anti-vital and anti-bi-otic, anti-pyritic, etc.

I am a student of final D.H.M.S. and I have gone through study as my best. But it is first at all when I come to know that

Homoeopathic medicine may be germicide, anti-viral, anti-cancer, anti-parasitic, anti-microbial etc. It is a matter of great strange, but if it is true, according to the writer then "WHAT TO DO OF SIMILIA SIMILIOUS CURANTER" and what is the use of the Doctrine of Drug proving?

QUOTATION NO - 6

It is best antibiotic known and is commonly applied as oisinfectant to wounds. It can be used with ECHINACIA..... it combines well with HYDRASTIC and ECHINACEA as an antibiotics and anti-viral agents..... (Page 30, Q.H.Q. Summer 1996).

Looking upon these lines I am compelled to think that the writer has nothing about further explanation of "Law of similin" and Law of simplex". Here I also want to know that in which aphorism application of tincture and application of external disinfectant is advocated.

Here I want to know from the writer that is he intentioned to be a founder of mixopathy beside

Homoeopathy? I want to suggest him also that it is not a proper way of getting popularity. If he is unable to follow cardinal principle and regulation of Homoeopathy then he has no right to make relation with Homoeopathy, and he can establish his practice according to his own way but he should never try to pollute Homoeopathic ideology because this is the symbol of a lot of sacrifice, Venture and devotion.

Thus, to conclude, I should like to draw attention towards our moral duty regarding Homoeopathy, which is very tough task to do. But it is great mythological for use and it is our duty to save its spirit and should never try to misuse and disturb its fortification because if we do so, we will wipe up our identity, and will be compelled to wonder in deep dark missing our central existence. So let us swear that at any cost we will preserve its nobility and will try to brighten its glorile as our best.

SANJAY KUMAR SINGH
Address Resi-G.B. PANT
HOSTEL.
35, Belgachia Road.
Calcutta - 700037.

STATEMENT ABOUT THE OWNERSHIP
For FORM IV (See Rule 8)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Place of publication | : Sri Sathya Sai Publications, Main Road Taliparamba, Kannur - 670 141 |
| 2. Periodicity | : Quarterly |
| 3. Edited, Printed, Published
And Owned by | : Dr. P.K. Renjeev |
| 4. Nationality | : Indian |
- I, P.K. Renjeev, hereby declare that particulars given above are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Sd/-
Dr.P.K. Renjeev
Publisher
Dated : 31 July 1997