

## ORIGINAL PAPER

# Evaluation of isopathic treatment of *Salmonella enteritidis* in poultry

A Berchieri Jr.<sup>1,\*</sup>, WCP Turco<sup>2</sup>, JB Paiva<sup>1</sup>, GH Oliveira<sup>1</sup> and EV Sterzo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculdade de Ciências Agrárias e Veterinárias, Universidade Estadual Paulista, 14870-000 Jaboticabal, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>DES-FAR Laboratórios Ltda. Rua Eponina, 412. 03426-010 São Paulo-SP, Brazil

**Background:** Salmonellosis is a common problem worldwide in commercially reared poultry. It is associated with human Salmonellosis. No fully satisfactory method of control is available.

**Method:** Nosodes to an antibiotic-resistant strain of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis in D30 (30X) potency were prepared. One day old chicks ( $N = 180$ ) were divided into four groups: two control and two different preparations of the nosode. Treatments were administered in drinking water for 10 days. The birds were challenged by a broth culture of the same *Salmonella*, by mouth, on day 17. Cloacal swabs were taken twice weekly for *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis.

**Results:** Birds receiving active treatment were less likely to grow the strain of *Salmonella* from cloacal swabs compared to control.

**Conclusion:** Isopathy is low cost and non-toxic. It may have a role to play in the widespread problem of *Salmonella* in poultry. Further research should be conducted. *Homeopathy* (2006) 95, 94–97.

**Keywords:** *Salmonella*; antibiotic resistance; poultry; nosodes; isopathy

## Introduction

The poultry industry has to control Pullorum and Fowl Typhoid to produce meat and egg on a large scale.<sup>1,2</sup> Poultry is also susceptible to the infection by other *Salmonella* serotypes.<sup>2</sup> Some can be transmitted vertically among the birds and are excreted with the faeces.<sup>3,4</sup> They can be associated with human food-borne salmonellosis.<sup>5</sup>

Once infectious pathogenic microorganisms are introduced in a poultry flock they are easily disseminated throughout the farm.<sup>6</sup> Feed containing fishmeal introduced *Salmonella agona* to poultry farms of many countries and is responsible for some outbreaks of human foodborne salmonellosis.<sup>3,7</sup> Many other *Salmonella* serotypes can cause human salmonellosis including *Salmonella infantis*, *S. senftenberg*, and of

course *S. typhimurium*.<sup>8</sup> *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis has been the focus of surveillance program in animal farms since it was responsible for several outbreaks of human foodborne salmonellosis related to food prepared with meat or eggs of chickens.<sup>9–13</sup>

*Salmonella* are still the most common agents of foodborne diseases and poultry products continue to be the main source of *S. enteritidis*.<sup>14</sup> This serotype may infect newly hatched chicks through vertical transmission and be disseminated to the poultry flock,<sup>5</sup> resulting in contaminated carcasses and eggs.<sup>15</sup> *S. enteritidis* is thought to reach poultry farm from rodents.<sup>16</sup> *S. enteritidis* has been detected in commercial broilers and laying hens worldwide.<sup>15–19</sup> Many countries have established surveillance for *S. enteritidis*; the Brazilian Government has ruled that imported birds must be free of *Salmonella* serotypes Gallinarum, Pullorum, Enteritidis and Typhimurium.<sup>20</sup> Nevertheless, *Salmonella* has been found in day-old birds and flocks may remain contaminated.<sup>21,22</sup>

Many methods to control *S. enteritidis* including monitoring breeding flocks have been tried, as have measures to avoid its introduction and dissemination in poultry flocks. The available methods are not fully

\*Correspondence: Angelo Berchieri Junior, Faculdade de Ciências Agrárias e Veterinárias, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Via de acesso Paulo Donato Castellane, s/n, 14884-900 Jaboticabal, SP Brazil.

E-mail: [berchier@fcav.unesp.br](mailto:berchier@fcav.unesp.br)

Received 11 May 2005; revised 22 November 2005; accepted 30 January 2006

effective and have negative aspects. Isopathy may be an alternative approach to prevent avian salmonellosis. This method has been used to control infectious diseases in animals, either individually or in herds, but there is no report of its use to control *Salmonella* infection in animals or humans.

A report from Cuba demonstrated the effectiveness of isopathy in reducing sub clinical bovine mastitis.<sup>23</sup> In Germany domestic animals were submitted to homeopathic treatment for 5 years. According to the author, morbidity rate was reduced among newly housed fattening pigs; treatment of respiratory illness were comparable to drug therapy using antibiotics, allowing reduction in the use of allopathic drugs.<sup>24</sup> In pigs, homeopathic treatment of respiratory tract diseases was more effective than using low-dose antibiotics, but less effective than using therapeutic doses of the same antibiotic.<sup>25</sup>

Intensive rearing of poultry is necessary but favors dissemination of infectious disease agents like *Salmonella*. The incorporation of antimicrobial substances in animal feed is now banned in animal production. Some antimicrobial drugs like furazolidone and chloramphenicol, which are very active against *Salmonella*, cannot be used now. These substances are either deleterious to human health or responsible for acquisition of drug-resistance by microorganisms, associated with human hospital acquired infection.<sup>26</sup> Homeopathic products have no contraindication and may contain several nosode (biotherapies). This work evaluated the use of isopathic products prepared from a *S. enteritidis* strain to control the infection of commercial birds by the same strain.

## Material and methods

### Bacterium

A nalidixic acid and spectinomycin resistant spontaneous mutant of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis (SE Nal<sup>r</sup>Spec<sup>r</sup>) was isolated from birds from a commercial poultry flock. The mutant was prepared according to the method described previously.<sup>27</sup>

The nosode was prepared as described in the Brazilian Homeopathic Pharmacopoeia.<sup>28</sup> Broth culture of SE Nal<sup>r</sup>Spec<sup>r</sup> was made in 10 ml nutrient broth (Oxoid CM 67) incubate for 24 h at 37 °C in a shaking incubator (100 strokes/min). Such culture contained approximately  $2.5 \times 10^9$  UFC/ml. The broth culture was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 30 min and the pellet resuspended in 1 ml fresh nutrient broth to concentrate the culture.

To obtain a final dilution in 70% ethanol the solution was prepared by mixing 4.41 l of 99.0% alcohol with deionized water treated by UV light to a final volume of 6.3 l. To obtain the final 30% concentration it was mixed 2.4 l of 99.0% alcohol plus deionized water treated by UV light to a final volume of 8 l. Succussion was performed in a Dynamizer

model 100P – 10F. The D1 (1X) solution was prepared by adding 1 g of broth culture of SE Nal<sup>r</sup>Spec<sup>r</sup> plus saline solution (9% sodium chloride in deionized water) to 10 g. The flask was dynamized with 100 succussions. This flask was labeled D1 and was used to prepare D2. The procedure was the same as used to obtain D1 but mixing 1 g of D1 with saline solution. The procedure was repeated until D10. From D11–D26 3.3 g of solution was mixed with 70% alcohol up to 33 g in a 60 ml flask. D27–D29 solutions were prepared as before but mixing 5.5 g of D26 solution with 70% alcohol up to 55 g in a 100 ml flask. D30 was prepared from D29 but with 30% alcohol (SD30A) or 70% alcohol (SD30B).

### Birds and challenge strain

SE Nal<sup>r</sup>Spec<sup>r</sup> was cultured as previously described in 100 ml to contain approximately  $2.5 \times 10^9$  UFC/ml. From this, 1 ml of the broth culture was inoculated directly into the crop of the birds by gavage.

Birds used in this work were light white variety from a commercial hatchery. Some birds were bled for serological examination for evidence of *Salmonella* by slide agglutination test and by culture of swabs from the interior of the transport boxes.<sup>20</sup>

### Experimental design

In each experiment, 90 1-day old chicks were separated in two groups, one being treated with the nosode, and the other with no treatment (control group). The birds received water and feed ad libitum and a heat source for the first 7 days of life.

The nosode was added to the drinking water at the concentration of 8.10 ml per 922.50 ml of water for the first 5 days of life and 8.10 ml per 1545.75 ml of water for the second 5 days of life, once a day. The nosode was shaken gently 10 times before use. The water offered to the chicks was placed in plastic drinkers. Seven days after finishing the treatment, the birds were challenged with the bacterial culture and cloacal cotton swabs were taken twice weekly.

### Microbiological examination

The cotton swabs were placed into tubes containing selenite broth (Oxoid CM395) plus novobiocin (0.4%). The swab was streaked out on Brilliant green agar (Oxoid CM 263) containing nalidixic acid (100 µ/ml) and spectinomycin (100 µ/ml) (BG NalSpec) and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The result was considered positive when there was growth of at least one colony suggestive of *Salmonella*. The colony was submitted to agglutination test with *Salmonella* O antiserum factor 9 (Difco 2818-47) and with *Salmonella* H antiserum factor m (Difco 2546-47). When there was no growth, the swab was streaked out again on BG NalSpec agar incubated at 37 °C for 24 h.

**Table 1** Results of Cloacal swabs for *Salmonella enteritidis* Nalr and Specr in groups of 45 birds

dpi	SD 30 A			Control			SD 30 B			Control		
	D	E	T	D	E	T	D	E	T	D	E	T
1	11	25	36	33	9	42	15	13	28	27	12	39
3	17	19	36	34	6	40	19	8	27	26	8	34
7	10	13	23	11	10	21	12	4	16	14	11	25
9	3	6	9	10	18	28	4	2	6	7	12	19
12	2	6	8	11	13	24	2	2	4	6	6	12
13	1	6	7	12	10	22	3	1	4	6	4	10
16	1	2	3	7	11	18	3	1	4	6	4	10
20	0	1	1	7	11	18	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	45	78	123*	125	88	213*	58	31	89*	92	57	149*

dpi: days post infection; D: positive result by direct plating on Brilliant green agar Nal/Specr; E: *Salmonella* organisms isolated by enrichment culture; T: total = D+E.

\* $p < 0.05$  (Chi-square test).

### Statistics

The results were analyzed by the Chi-square test.<sup>29</sup>

### Results

Cloacal swabs were taken on days 1, 3, 7, 9, 12, 13, 16 and 20 post-infection (dpi). In the groups of birds receiving either SD30A or SD30B fecal excretion of *S. enteritidis* was significantly lower, compared to control ( $p < 0.05$ ). The faecal excretion results are shown in Table 1.

### Discussion

Nosodes are homeopathic medications made from disease agents or with material from an affected organ.<sup>30</sup> Virus particles, bacteria or toxins that are used in the production of vaccines and serum can be dynamized (dilution and succession) and used to treat diseases caused by the same agent.<sup>31</sup> The products used in this work were prepared from a broth culture of *S. enteritidis*. Colibacilium 200ch (nosode prepared from *E. coli*) controlled enterobacteria in young birds,<sup>32</sup> showing that homeopathy can be applied in the control of avian enteric pathogens. Homeopathic products are supposed to act as immunomodulators of the organism, increasing the individual resistance.<sup>33-35</sup>

SD30A and SD30B were not the only products we tested. Others were assessed too, without success (data not shown). There are a few publications on the use of homeopathic products in intensive system of rearing<sup>23,32</sup> but none related to *Salmonella* control. Thus, it is not possible to compare the data with other studies. However, they suggest that this sort of therapy might work and should be better studied, because from the practical point of view their application is easy and, they are not harmful to animals or humans.

The eradication of *S. enteritidis* in poultry flocks is practically impossible in view of its epidemiological characteristics. Various methods of control have been

tried. Among the methods of choice are the incorporation of organic acids into the feed,<sup>36,37</sup> the use of beneficial microorganisms (probiotics, competitive exclusion) in drinking water,<sup>38-40</sup> inactivated vaccines administered parenterally,<sup>22,41</sup> and antimicrobial drugs. All these methods have advantages and disadvantages. Organic acids are harmful to the equipment and to humans. Probiotics do not entirely prevent colonization while the competitive exclusion method although reliable uses an undefined mixture of intestinal microorganisms. Intramuscular vaccine administration requires individual manipulation of the birds and causes a local reaction. Antibiotics stimulate the emergence of resistant strains associated with hospital acquired infection and their metabolism may generate harmful residual compounds.<sup>42</sup> Therefore, any product that has no side effect and is of easy application might be of concerning.

In conclusion, under the experimental condition carried out in this study the homeopathic therapy decreased *Salmonella enteritidis* excretion in commercial birds. In this case, egg contamination may decrease since eggs are usually contaminated in the cloaca. The results presented here could be the basis of more work on this subject.

### References

- 1 Snoeyenbos GH, Weinack OM, Smyser CF. Protecting chickens and poultry from salmonellae by oral administration of 'normal' gut microflora. *Avi Dis* 1978; **22**: 273-287.
- 2 Gast R.K., Salmonella infections. In: Calnek B.W., Barnes H.J., Beard C.W., (eds), *Diseases of Poultry*. 11th ed. Ames: Iowa University Press, 2003. p. 567-613 (Chapter 16).
- 3 Berchieri Jr A, Irino I, Neme SN, Paulillo AC, Calzada CR. Contaminação por *Salmonella* em farinhas de origem animal no preparo de ração. *Pesq Vet Bras* 1984; **4**(3): 83-88.
- 4 Barrow PA, Lovell MA. Experimental infection of egg-laying hens with *Salmonella* Enteritidis phage type 4. *Avi Pathol* 1991; **20**: 335-338.
- 5 Wall PG, Ward LR. Epidemiology of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis phage type 4 in England and Wales. In: Saeed AM (Editor in Chief). *Salmonella enterica serovar*

- Enteritidis in Humans and Animals*. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press, 1999. p. 19-25.
- 6 Davies RH, Breslin M. Persistence of *Salmonella* Enteritidis phage type 4 in the environment and arthropod vectors on an empty free-range chicken farm. *Environ Microbiol* 2003; **5**(2): 79-84.
  - 7 Clark GM, Kaufmann AF, Gangarosa EJ. Epidemiology of international outbreak of *Salmonella* agona. *Lancet* 1973; **7827**: 490-493.
  - 8 Berchieri Jr A. Salmoneloses Aviárias. in: Berchieri Jr A, Macari M editors. *Doenças das aves*. Campinas: FACTA, 2000. p. 185-195.
  - 9 Gast RK, Beard CW. Detecção de anticorpos específicos para salmonella sorogrupo D na gema de ovos produzidos por galinhas infectadas com *Salmonella* enteritidis. *Poult Sci* 1991; **70**: 1273-1276.
  - 10 Barrow PA. A *Salmonella* control—past, present and future. *Avi Pathol* 1993; **22**: 651-669.
  - 11 Taunay AE, Fernandes AS, Tavechio AT, Neves BC, Dias AMG, Irino K. The role of public health laboratory in the problem of salmonellosis in São Paulo, Brazil. *Rev Inst Med Trop* 1996; **38**: 119-127.
  - 12 Tavechio AT, Fernandes AS, Neves BC, Dias AMG, Irino K. Changing patterns of *Salmonella* serovares: increase of *Salmonella* Enteritidis in São Paulo, Brazil. *Rev Inst Med Trop* 1996; **38**: 315-322.
  - 13 Barrow PA. The paratyphoid *Salmonellae*. *Rev Sci Tech* 2000; **19**: 351-375.
  - 14 Barrow PA. *Salmonella* infections in poultry - problems and new thoughts on the possibilities of control. *Rev Bras Cienc Avi* 1999; **1**: 9-16.
  - 15 Gast RK, Holt PS. Assessing the frequency and consequences of *Salmonella* Enteritidis disposition on the egg yolk membrane. *Poult Sci* 2001; **80**(7): 997-1002.
  - 16 Davies RH, Wray C. Contribution of the lesser mealworm beetle (*Alphitobius diaperinus*) to carriage of *Salmonella* enteritidis in poultry. *Vet Rec* 1995; **137**: 407-408.
  - 17 Bygrave AC, Gallager J. Transmission of *Salmonella* enteritidis. *Vet Rec* 1989; **125**: 571.
  - 18 Nakamura M, Nagamine N, Takashi T, Suzuki S, Sato S. Evaluation of the efficacy of a bacterin against *Salmonella* Enteritidis infection and the effect of stress after vaccination. *Avi Dis* 1994; **38**: 717-724.
  - 19 Rodrigue DC, Tauxe RV, Rowe B. International increase in *Salmonella* enteritidis: a new pandemic? *Epidemiol Infect* 1990; **105**: 21-27.
  - 20 Brasil. Programa nacional de sanidade avícola. Portaria Ministerial N° 193, de 19 de setembro de 1994.
  - 21 Zancan FT, Berchieri Jr A, Fernandes SA. *Salmonella* spp investigation in transport boxes of day-old birds. *Braz J Microbiol* 2000; **31**(3): 229-232.
  - 22 Gama NMSQ, Berchieri Jr A, Fernandes AS. Occurrence of *Salmonella* sp in laying hens. *Rev Bras Cienc Avi* 2003; **5**(1): 15-21.
  - 23 Rosario BF, Mazorra MC, Morales RV, Pazos FL. Nosodes: Terapia Homeopática en las Mastitis Subclínica Bovina. *Trabajo de Curso*. Universidad Central de Las Villas. Facultad Ciencias Agropecuarias, 1999.
  - 24 Schutte A. Is research in veterinary homeopathy justified? Thoughts concerning principles and synopsis of 5 years of research on the subject, "Use of homeopathy in domestic animals," at the branch of the Free University of Berlin in Schwarzenbek. *Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr* 1994; **107**(7): 229-236.
  - 25 Albrecht H, Schutte A. Homeopathy versus antibiotics in metaphylaxis of infectious diseases: a clinical study in pig fattening and its significance to consumers. *Altern Ther Health Med* 1999; **5**(5): 64-68.
  - 26 Berchieri Jr A, Barrow PA. Desenvolvimento da microbiota intestinal em pintos de corte: Prós e contras. In: *CONFERÊNCIA APINCO DE TECNOLOGIA AVÍCOLA*, Campinas. Anais ... 1998. p. 183-190.
  - 27 Smith HW, Tucker JF. The virulence of *Salmonella* strains for chickens: their excretion by infected chickens. *J Hyg* 1980; **84**: 479-488.
  - 28 Farmacopéia Homeopática Brasileira, 1ª Ed. 1977 (Decreto 78841 de 25/11/1976 Portaria Ministerial 382/1977).
  - 29 Thrusfield M. *Veterinary Epidemiology*. Cambridge: Blakwell Science, 1995. p 479.
  - 30 Guajardo BG. *Posología Homeopática de la Escuela Hahnemanniana-Kentiana*. Instituto Politécnico Nacional. 1ra. Ed. México, 1996.
  - 31 Kossak-Romanach A. Homeopatia em 1000 conceitos, 2ª. ed. São Paulo: Elcid, 1993. pp. 27, 447-455.
  - 32 Pazos FL, Famada B. *Escherichia Coli* Y Su Prevención por Homeopatia. X Jornada Provincial de Medicina Veterinaria. Cienfuegos, 2001.
  - 33 Briones SF. Manual de Medicina Veterinaria Homeopática. *Teoría y Práctica de la aplicación de la Homeopatía en Medicina Veterinaria*. 2da. Ed. Propulsora de Homeopatía, S. A. División Editorial, México, 1997.
  - 34 Guajardo BG. La Dinamización, no la Dilución. Una sugerencia a todo investigador. *Seminario de Farmacología de los Medicamentos Homeopáticos*. 'Nuevos Horizontes en Terapéutica Veterinaria'. División Educación Continuada. México, 28 y 29 de Sept. de 1994.
  - 35 Eizayaga FX. Tratado de medicina homeopática., 2ª. ed. Buenos Aires: Marecel, 1981. pp. 69-70.
  - 36 Oliveira GH, Berchieri Jr A, Barrow PA. Prevention of *Salmonella* infection by contact using intestinal flora of adults birds and/or a mixture of organic acids. *Braz J Microbiol* 2000; **31**: 116-120.
  - 37 Iba AM, Berchieri Jr A. Studies on the use of a formic acid-propionic acid mixture (Bio-add™) to control experimental *Salmonella* infection in broiler chickens. *Avi Pathol* 1995; **24**: 303-311.
  - 38 Froyman R, Stephan B, Day C. Epidemiology of paratyphoid salmonellosis in a large broiler integration and the impact of the application of a normal gut flora at day old, In: *International Symposium Salmonella and Salmonellosis*. Anais... Ploufragan Saint-Brieuc, May 20-22, 1997. pp. 11-13.
  - 39 Gornham SL. Persistence of *Salmonella* Enteritidis in young chickens. *International Symposium Salmonella and Salmonellosis*. Anais Ploufragan Saint-Brieuc, 1997. pp. 11-13.
  - 40 Patterson JA, Bukholder KM. Application of prebiotics and probiotics in poultry production. *Poult Sci* 2003; **82**(4): 627-631.
  - 41 Timms LM, Marshal RN, Breslin MF. Laboratory and field trial assessment of protection given by *Salmonella* Enteritidis PT4 inactivated, adjuvant vaccine. *Braz Vet J* 1994; **150**: 93-102.
  - 42 Palermo Neto J. Panorama Mundial sobre resíduos de medicamentos veterinários. In: *III Congresso de Produção e consumo de ovos* APA, Indaiatuba. Anais. 2005. pp. 17-32.